CS 134 Operating Systems

March 25, 2019

Crash Recovery & Logging

This work is a derivative of [Crash Recovery, Logging](https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/electrical-engineering-and-computer-science/6-828-operating-system-engineering-fall-2012/lecture-notes-and-readings/MIT6_828F12_lec10_notes.pdf) by MIT Open Courseware used under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International license

Final project

- Choose project 6 (JOS networking) or JOSrelated final project of your choice
- Some project ideas are in the Lab 7 writeup
	- •Piazza Discussion Due, March 28, 2019
	- –Find partners (team of up to 3), share ideas
	- •Proposals Due, April 4, 2019
	- –Will say yes or no (level of difficulty, relevance to OS)
	- •Code repository (including brief writeup). Due, May 2, 2019
	- In-person Check-off, May 3 or 6, 2019

Crash recovery

- Problem: crash can lead to inconsistent file system
- Solution 1: file system check on boot
- Solution 2: logging

What is crash recovery?

- You're writing to the file system
- Then, the power fails
- You reboot
- Is your file system still usable?

The problem

- Crash during multi-step operation
- May leave FS invariants violated
- After reboot:
	- bad: crash again due to corrupt FS
	- •worse: no crash, but reads/writes incorrect data

Examples

• create

- •new dirent
- •allocate file inode
- •crash: dirent points to free inode—disaster
- crash again, or worse if inode is allocated for something else
- •crash: inode not free but not used—not so bad

Examples

• write

- •inode addr[] and len
- •indirect block
- •block content
- block free bitmap
- •crash: inode refers to free block—disaster
- •crash: block not free but not used—not so bad

Examples

• unlink

- •block free bitmaps
- •free inode
- •erase dirent
- •crash: inode refers to free block—disaster
- •crash: dirent refers to free inode—disaster

What can we hope for?

- After rebooting and running recovery code:
	- 1.FS internal invariants maintained
		- •For example, no block is in both the free list and in a file
	- 2.All but the last few operations are preserved on disk
		- •For example, data I wrote yesterday is preserved, but not necessarily data I was writing at the time of the crash
		- •User might have to check the last few operations
	- 3.No order anomalies
		- echo 99 > result; echo done > status

Correctness and performance often conflict

- Disk writes are slow!
- Safety→write to disk ASAP
- Speed→don't write to disk
	- •Batch
	- •Write-back cache
	- •Sort by track
	- •etc.

Crash recovery is a recurring problem

- Arises in all storage systems (e.g., databases)
- A lot of work has gone into solutions over the years
- Many clever performance/correctness tradeoffs

Logging

- Most popular solution
- *aka* journaling
- Goal: atomic system calls w.r.t. crashes
- Goal: fast recovery (no hour-long fsck)

We'll look at logging in two steps

- 1.In xv6, which only provides safety and fast recovery
- 2.Then, in Linux's EXT3, which is also fast in normal operation

Basic idea behind logging

- You want atomicity: all of a system call's writes, or none
	- •Let's call an atomic operation a *transaction*
- Record all writes a system call *will* do in the log on a disk (log)
- Then, record "done" in the log (commit)
- Then, do the FS disk writes (install)
- On crash+recovery:
	- •If "done" is in the log, replay all the writes in the log.
	- •Else, ignore log
- This is a *write-ahead log*

Write-ahead log rule

- Write *none* of a transaction's writes to the FS
	- •Until *all* writes are in the log
	- •And, the logged writes are *committed*

Why the rule?

- Once we've installed one write to the on-disk FS
	- •We have to do *all* the other writes in the transaction (so the transaction is atomic)
	- •To be prepared for a crash after the first installation write
	- –The other writes must be available for replay
		- In the log

Logging is magic

- Crash recovery of complex mutable data structures is generally hard
- Logging can often be layered on top of existing storage systems
- And, it's compatible with high performance

Challenge: prevent writeback from cache

- A system call can safely update a cached block
	- •But, the block cannot be written to the FS until the transaction completes
- Tricky, because, for example, cache may run out of space and may be tempted to evict some entries in order to read and cache other data

Challenge: prevent writeback from cache

- create example
	- Write dirty inode to log
	- •Write dir block to log
	- •Evict dirty inode
	- •Commit
- Solution:
	- •Ensure buffer cache is big enough
	- Pin dirty blocks in the buffer cache
	- Afer commit, unpin blocks

xv6 log representation

- On write, add blockno to in-memory array • Keep the data itself in buffer cache (pinned)
- On commit:
	- •Write buffers to the log on disk
	- WAIT for disk to complete the writes (*synchronous)*
	- Write the log header to the disk
	- block numbers
	- non-zero "n"
	- •After commit:
	- Install (write) the blocks in the log to their home location in the FS
	- –Write zero to "n" in the log header

The "n" value in the log header on disk
indicates commit

- zero == not committed—may not be complete: recovery should ignore log
- non-zero == committed—log content is valid and is a complete transaction

• The write of the non-zero "n" is the commit point

Challenge: system-call's writes must fit in log

• Compute an upper bound on the number of blocks each system call writes

•set log size ≥ upper bound

- Break up some system calls into several transactions
	- •Large write()s
	- Thus, large write () s are not atomic
	- –But, a crash will leave a valid prefix of the large write

Challenge: allowing concurrent system calls

- Must allow writes from several system calls to be in the log
- On commit, must write them all
- **But**, cannot write data from calls still in a transaction

xv6 solution

- Allow no new system calls to start if their data might not fit into the log
	- •Must wait for current calls to complete and commit
- When number of in-progress calls falls to zero
	- •Commit
	- •Free up log space
	- •Wake up waiting calls

Challenge: a block may be written multiple
times in a transaction

- Writes affect only cached block in memory
- So, a cached block may reflect multiple uncommitted transactions
- But install only happens when there are no in-progress transactions
	- •So, installed blocks reflect only committed transactions
- Good for performance: *write absorption*

xv6 disk layout with block numbers

An example: echo a > x

Create x


```
filewrite(struct file *f, char *addr, int n)
\{ …
  if(f->type == FD INODE){
     // write a few blocks at a time to avoid exceeding
     // the maximum log transaction size, including
     // i-node, indirect block, allocation blocks,
     // and 2 blocks of slop for non-aligned writes.
     // this really belongs lower down, since writei()
     // might be writing a device like the console.
    int max = ((MAXOPBLOCKS-1-1-2) / 2) * 512;int i = 0;
    while(i < n){
      int nl = n - i;
      if(n1 > max)nl = max; begin_op();
      ilock(f->ip);if ((r = \text{writei}(f - \text{kip}, \text{addr} + i, f - \text{conf}, n1)) > 0)
        f-\text{off} += r;
       iunlock(f->ip);
       end_op();
 …
```
}

 $M_{min}'s'$

- Need to indicate which groups of writes must be atomic
- Need to check if log is being committed
- Need to check if our writes will fit in remainder of log

```
void begin_op(void)
\{ acquire(&log.lock);
 while(1)\{ if(log.committing){
      sleep(&log, &log.lock);
     } else if(log.lh.n + (log.outstanding+1)*MAXOPBLOCKS > LOGSIZE){
       // this op might exhaust log space; wait for commit.
      sleep(&log, &log.lock);
     } else {
       log.outstanding += 1;
       release(&log.lock);
       break;
 }
 }
}
```

```
void log write(struct buf *b)
\{ int i;
  if (log.lh.n >= LOGSIZE || log.lh.n >= log.size - 1)
     panic("too big a transaction");
   if (log.outstanding < 1)
    panic("log write outside of trans");
   acquire(&log.lock);
  for (i = 0; i < log.h.n; i++) {
     if (log.lh.block[i] == b->blockno) // log absorbtion
       break;
   }
   log.lh.block[i] = b->blockno;
  if (i == log.h.n) log.lh.n++;
   b->flags |= B_DIRTY; // prevent eviction
   release(&log.lock);
}
```
• If no outstanding transactions, commit

```
void end_op(void)
\{ acquire(&log.lock);
   log.outstanding -= 1;
  if(log.outstanding == 0){
    do commit = 1; log.committing = 1;
   } else {
    // begin op() may be waiting for log space,
     // and decrementing log.outstanding has decreased
     // the amount of reserved space.
     wakeup(&log);
   }
   release(&log.lock);
   if(do_commit){
 …
     commit();
     acquire(&log.lock);
     log.committing = 0;
     wakeup(&log);
     release(&log.lock);
   }
}
```
- Copy updated blocks from cache to disk log
- Record sector #s and "done" to disk
- Install writes—copy from on-disk log to ondisk FS
	- ide.c will clear B DIRTY for block written—now it can be evicted
- Erase "done" from log

```
static void
commit()
\{if (log.lh.n > 0) {
    write log(); \frac{1}{2} // Write modified blocks from cache to log
     write_head(); // Write header to disk -- the real commit
     install_trans(); // Now install writes to home locations
     log.lh.n = 0;
    write head(); // Erase the transaction from the log
 }
}
```
What would happen if we crash
during a transaction?

- Memory is lost—only disk at time of crash
- Kernel calls recover from log() during boot (before using FS)
	- •If log headers say "done":
	- copy blocks from log to real location on disk
- What is in the on-disk log:
	- •crash before commit
	- •crash during commit: commit point
	- crash during install trans
	- •crash just after reboot while in recover from log()
- Replaying the log is *idempotent*
	- as long as no other FS activity intervenes

xv6 assumes disk is fail-safe

- Atomic: Either the write occurs correctly, or the write doesn't occur
	- •No partial writes
- No wild writes
- No decay of sectors (no read errors)
- No read of the wrong sector

What is good about xv6's log design?

- Correctness: due to write-ahead log
- Good disk throughput: log naturally batches writes
	- •But, disk blocks are written twice
- Concurrency

What is bad about xv6's log design?

- Not very efficient
	- •Every block is written twice
	- •Logs whole blocks even if only a few bytes are modified
	- Writes each log block synchronously
	- Could write them as a batch and only write head synchronously
	- Trouble with operations that don't fit in the log
	- unlink might dirty many blocks while truncating file